

#### CSU Regular Council Meeting – Agenda Wednesday, January 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011 Room H-763, Time 6:30 PM, S.G.W Campus

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Roll Call
- 3. Approval of the Agenda
- 4. Approval of the Minutes and Business Arising
- 5. Chairperson's Report
- 6. Statement Regarding Potential Petition
- 7. New Business
  - a. Standing Regulation Proposal Election Dates
  - **b.** Motion regarding Minimum Agreement
  - c. Appointment to Clubs and Space Committee
  - d. Appointment to Appointments Committee
- 8. Consent Agenda
  - a. Executive Reports
  - b. Report from University Bodies
  - c. Report from Committees
- 9. Question Period & Business Arising
- 10. Announcements
- 11. Adjournment



# CSU Regular Council Meeting January 25<sup>th</sup>, 2012

1. The meeting is called to order at 6:46 pm.

#### 2. Roll Call

Executives:

Lex Gill

Morgan Pudwell

Gonzo Nieto

Chad Walcott

Hasan Cheikhzen

Jordan Lindsay

Melissa Fuller

#### Councillors:

Renee Tousignant

Anaii Lee-Ender

Iain Meyer-Macaulay

Sabrina D'Ambra

Ali Moenck

Nadine Atallah

Tally Shaaked

Anthony D'Urbano

Simon-Pierre

Irmak Bahar

Eva-Loan Ponton-Pham

April Underwood

Melanie Hotchkiss

Lina Saigol

Cameron Monagle

AJ West

Fares Jandali Rifai

Eduardo Alves

Amero Muiny

Kyle Mcloughlin

Michaela Manson

Museb Abu-Thuraia

#### 3. Approval of the agenda

**AJ West:** Add Library Services report

**Chad Walcott:** Add under Chairperson's Report a statement regarding a potential petition.

Eva-Loan Ponton-Pham: Seconds.



Michaela Manson: Under New Business add appointment to clubs and space committee.

Sabrina D'Ambra: Seconds.

**Jordan Lindsay:** Table CUSA CORP until next meeting.

Anthony D'Urbano: Seconds.

**Eva-Loan Ponton-Pham:** Under point 6 of the agenda add a minimum agreement motion.

Kyle Mcloughlin: Seconds.

Melissa Fuller: Add councillor appointment to appointments committee

Kyle Mcloughlin: Seconds.

**Irmak Bahar:** Moves to approve agenda.

Michaela Manson: Seconds.

**Vote:** All in favour of approving the agenda: unanimous.

4. Approval of the minutes and business arising

Nadine Atallah: Missing information to be added before publication.

**Vote:** All in favour of approving the minutes: unanimous.

#### 5. Chairperson's Report

**Chairperson:** Robert's Rules seminar postponed until further notice. Anyone interested should contact the Chair. Next meeting he will update regarding attendance.

- 6. New Business
- a. Statement regarding petition.

**Lex Gill:** This afternoon I received an email from Tomer Shavit giving notice that he has begun to circulate a petition to recall me to election.

Forgive me if I have quite a bit to say about this.

First of all, it would be remiss for me not to point out that the procedure outlined by Tomer in his email cites Bylaw 12.4 of the current CSU Bylaws. The new version of the Bylaws, adopted in majority by our membership in the November by-election, take effect on March 1st, as stipulated in the referendum question.

In the version of the Bylaws currently in effect however, I in fact cannot be recalled to election or removed from office as an individual—I was elected on an Executive slate and the Executive, as is clearly outlined in the regulations, is recalled as a slate. Therefore, if Tomer's petition is an attempt to recall me to election individually, even if he manages to obtain 10% of valid signatures, it will be declared invalid.



Therefore, my recommendation to Tomer, if he wishes to exercise his democratic right to ask the membership to remove me from office, is to make a decision about whether he wishes to recall the entire executive to reelection along with me. As always, I stand by my team's work, dedication, leadership and courage, and implore him not to drag the rest of them into his personal problem with me.

If he makes a responsible choice not to recall the entire executive, then I suggest he wait until March 1st, at which time the new Bylaws, under article 10.5, will allow him to collect a new petition that will trigger a General Assembly to remove me, individually from office, should it make quorum and the membership votes to do so. Indeed, should a petition, collected after March 1st, be validated, I'd be happy to call the General Meeting, book the room, and move the motion myself. That is, after all, how democracy works.

On a more substantive note, I'd like to speak about some of the accusations made online and in the motivation for the petition itself. It should be made explicitly clear at the outset that the "whereas" clauses of the petition have already been directly, publicly addressed in the minutes of this very deliberative body, or are rife with conjecture, misinformation, logical fallacies, defamatory statements and straightforward lies about myself, and more importantly the work of my Executive team.

On that basis alone a petition of this nature will surely be contested, and again, I recommend to Tomer that if he wishes to move forward on his project, he remove statements from the petition that cannot be corroborated by explicit facts, and that he avoid empty rhetoric, hearsay, and personal attacks.

I understand deeply my role as a democratically elected public representative, I have no qualms with legitimate, informed criticism and will make no attempt to block a member from exercising their rights under our governing documents, as long as procedure is followed and facts are presented fairly and with integrity. I am proud of this team, of this year, and will stand by our record. We have faced massive challenges this year, and all eight of us know intimately that there is serious work left to be done. There has never been a claim that the work we've done so far has been perfect, but we've done our best and every day work to do better.

All that being said, I would like to take this opportunity to remind Council, students, elected representatives and the student press of the important issues on the table right now, and not to lose sight of our core mission or of our collective responsibility to students.

There is nothing more disappointing to me or damaging to the CSU's reputation than watching a capable student union descend into petty infighting and internal chaos. There is nothing that will fail students more in this time of crisis, and this union, with or without me, has a responsibility to remain focused, dedicated, and strong. This is not about me, it's about all of us.

**Chad Walcott:** Expresses confidence in Lex. They have not agreed on everything, but she has done an excellent job. The work of the entire executive is being questioned because of a personal vendetta. There is a sense of disengagement from the student body and the CSU. Personal problems and petty



insults are the reason for this. They should stand as a strong united student body. Lex has made some unfortunate statements, but we are all human and sometimes say stupid things. The ASFA incident has been resolved. He is sick and tired of constantly having to deal with this issue at Council. He wants to move on and deal with issues that are more important.

**Melissa Fuller:** whereas- record number of resignations. None of them cited discontent with councilwork and school commitments. Did their best to support councillors.

Hasan: Whereas clause- appointing an unelected student to JMSB something or othre- handled this issue last summer when received email from administration. Contacted some of the councillors and students who were interested in sitting on the committees. Was doing his job.

Attached document to council email regarding all of the appoints made over the summer, no one contested.

#### Laura:

One of the criticisms that have been brought up time and time again against the CSU is the lack of social events. The criticism began with the least attended Orientation concert in recent history, and continued with a lack of "Cultural Nights", one of the cherished staples of student life at Concordia.

This is not true. We had approximately the same number of students attend the Orientation Concert as the year before. However, it is important to note that the four musicians were incredibly diverse, and we had students coming and going for artists that they enjoyed. For example, many students that love Stars might not necessarily be the biggest Lunice fan. However, we did have a consistent crowd.

I understand that the Cultural Night event is a cherished staple of Student Life at Concordia, and one that many students enjoy. We had our third Cultural Night last night. We have now collaborated with a total of 5 clubs as well as IEAC. This is an event held in partnership with ASFA. I would first like to say that the CSU has received many compliments from the Dean of Students office, the Security Department as well as Concordia Residences for the precautionary measures that have been put in place to maximize safety at theses events. Some examples of how we have done this are stricter alcohol control, implementing a code of conduct and outlining expectations for behaviour and having first aiders on site. The CSU Events Committee has spent a huge amount of time planning Cultural Nights. In no way has the CSU neglected to contribute significantly to Cultural Nights. I have extensive documentation of budget preparation, all expense information, communication with Security at Concordia, communication with the Regie des Alcools, meeting minutes, communication with Concordia Residences, communication with the Dean of Students office, meeting minutes, and overview of division of tasks post-event. Colman Aucoin is available for further comment to speak on behalf of ASFA.

The CSU has since tried to counter these criticisms, providing examples of events that were promoted and organized primarily by Faculty Associations and Clubs, and the CSU then got criticized for trying to take credit for events that other organizations worked on.

This is false. The CSU has been the primary organizer the following collaborative events with Faculty Associations:

- Faculty Association BBQ on September 7, 2011 at Loyola with ASFA, FASA, CASA, CISA and ECA



- All three Cultural Nights (Latin American on November 16, 2011, Ukrainian on December 13, 2011 and Multi-Cultural on January 25, 2012)
- Outdoors Day with ASFA
- Athletic Day with CISA and IEAC on September 16, 2011 at Hingston Field
- Stingers Beer and Breakfast on September 17, 2011 at Reggies with the SWARM
- Many Tastes of Concordia and Clubs Fair in the Fall and Winter Orientation (September 10, 2011 and January 25, 2012)
- Jazz and Crayons on September 13, 2011 with FASA
- Turnip The Beet on September 12, 2011 with many working groups from Sustainable Concordia, Faculty Associations and Fee Levies
- the CSU also reached out to the SWARM during first semester, but no events came to fruition
- the CSU sent several emails to CASA requesting a meeting time to coordinate a collaborative Winter Orientation event, though CASA decided not to pursue a Winter Orientation for JMSB students at all. Marianna Luciano is available for further comment.
- The CSU is currently collaborating with ASFA for the New York Trip. So far, the CSU has booked the Hotel, set the budget, determined the ticket price, produced a poster, created a facebook group, printed posters, and started selling at CSU reception. ASFA booked the buses.

**Jordan Lindsay:** In regards to Student Life budget line being cut- there is a collective agreement being worked on with staff, they have had to budget accordingly. Several budget lines were cut, but this was always done while working with Laura to ensure most value per dollar. Lex and him have been looking over the budget to readjust after the first semester.

**Morgan Pudwell:** There are legitimate processes to address concerns. She has sat on many sides, has been critical of union, continues to do so. People should examine the union with, at the minimum, an equally critical eye. She supports the work that Lex has done.

**Cameron Monagle:**WHEREAS students are encouraged to participate union as per applicable by-laws;

WHEREAS the CSU council nevertheless finds this invalid petition attacking Lex Gill unproductive, damaging to our union, and contains many statements which are based on hearsay or factual fallacies;

BIRT the CSU Council affirms its confidence in Lex Gill; BIFRT the the CSU Council affirms its confidence in the entire CSU executive

Renee Tousignant: Seconds.

**Cameron Monagle:** The petition is unproductive. It doesn't help us and we have bigger issues to deal with. We should move on.

**Lex Gill:** Understands and respects members' democratic right to go through this process. The petition should be following the bylaws and standing regulations and should be done with integrity and respect.

**Vote:** all in favour of the motion as it is currently worded: unanimous.



**Tomer Shavit:** Did not come to Council to convince them of the petition. The petition of members is directed at the members. Some things said at this meeting are false: he will post them on the FB page and not discuss them like this.

Doesn'tt want to go with an executive recall- Chad Walcott, Jordan Lindsay, Melissa Fuller are not involved in anything corrupt.

Removing Lex Gill, who is a a weight on the CSU, would strengthen the CSU.

**Michaela Manson:** The motion passed was a motion of confidence.

**Kyle Mcloughlin:** The allegations in the petition are baseless. The idea that we, as a council, who oversee the executive would allow such things to go unaddressed is unfounded. We are not a rubber stamp Council controlled by Lex Gill. We have voted in favour of the motion to support Lex and the executive because they're doing good work. This issue is a weight on the union.

**Michaela Manson:** Lex made it clear that the Bylwas for a petition have to be followed- encourages that the petition follows the bylaws, right now it has flaws according to the bylaws.

Lex Gill: Reiterates: There is nothing wrong with a petition following the bylaws and standing regulations (old and new). This is how democracy works. She is confident in her reputation and her team. Would sign petition herself. Nothing done that merits this petition. If the process were followed with facts void of rhetoric and lies, she would stand to it. But there are more important things to deal with. There's a war going on, and she doesn't want this to distract Council. If we are going to have this debate, we should do it with integrity and no manipulation.

**Andy Filipowich:** The student body is part of a team, he has always felt this way. Has own opinions and background and knowledge, disagreements happen, but are all part of the team. This is directed at one person. Lex is a leader but is not a dictator (this wouldn't be possible at Concordia). The leaders of the petition should help fight the real battles against university administration and the government.

**Ali Moenck:** The appropriate avenues for discussion is council or meetings, engaging in incendiary discussion through social media is not appropriate. No grand standing.

**Tomer Shavit:** Supports battle, but issues of governance are just as important. Will address the concerns with the clauses in a forum, not here.

The bylaw itself is anything but straight forward. There are different bylaws to impeach different members of the CSU. Doesn't believe that the bylaws do not allow the president to be impeached independently.

**Chuck Wilson:** ECA representative. ECA and the CSU blame each other for things (contract with Reggies for example), both sides accuse each other and don't go to each others' events. Doesn't think it's a reason to impeach someone. Go to meetings and voice opinions if you disagree with something.

**Laura Glover:** Though Marianna Luciano and Alex Gordon have attached their names to the petition, I just want to make it clear that this does not mean that CASA and ASFA endorse the petition. In fact,



upon reading motion and the attached documents I did follow up with some of the Executives of CASA and ASFA, because I was concerned and appalled that many of these accusations had never been directly addressed to Lex or the Executive.

As I understand, a number of CASA and ASFA executives have remained impartial or uninvolved. Out of respect for these individuals, I just wanted that to be clear.

Jordan Lindsay: Calls for the orders of the day

7. a) Standing Regulation proposal for election date

Morgan: The official wording of this motion as voted on by council will be in the final version.

Amero: Seconds.

**Morgan Pudwell:** It would be difficult for students to vote while also on strike. This motion would address this. Also moves it a little further away from exam period. Spoke with Ismail (CEO), who also thought this was a good way to go for the strike and exam period.

**Lina Saigol:** Clarify: moves everything in terms of the elections up by a week?

Morgan Pudwell: Yes.

Vote: all in favour of the motion as it is currently worded: unanimous.

**Eva-Loan Ponton-Pham:** The French version of the motion that was sent out is not the correct version.

**Irmak Bahar:** In our struggle to prevent tuition fee hikes, it is imperative that we, as students are a united front. It is with this in mind that the need for a minimum agreement between the national student associations (ASSÉ, FÉCQ, FÉUQ, and TaCÉQ) was discussed at a national gathering that took place May 7-8, 2011.

The purpose of such an agreement is to prevent internal divisions within the student movement (a division that the government would not hesitate to use to undermine the student movement).

During the gathering in 2011, a minimum agreement with three clauses was adopted with overwhelming support. The intention was to come to an agreement on the principles of the struggle ahead and adopt the proposed positions in our respective associations' meetings. We feel that it is our responsibility as representatives of our associations to bring these recommendations to the CSU council in order to have a mandate that allows the CSU to urge the FÉUQ to adopt the minimum agreement.

The goal of the minimum agreement is not to deny the differences of views between different associations, but rather to establish a common ground from which we can work together in our united opposition to the government's fee increases. For this purpose, there are three clauses to the agreement:

Minimum Agreement



- 1. The solidarity clause: that each of the national student associations refuses to negotiate with the government if negotiations exclude any of the other associations.
- 2. The non-recommendation clause: that the national student associations undertake not to recommend a government proposal to their members and allow local associations to decide on proposals through their general assemblies or local regulations.
- 3. The non-denunciation clause: that the national student associations undertake to not criticize the actions of other national or local associations in the media.

In order for national organizations (ASSÉ, FÉCQ, FÉUQ, and TaCÉQ) to endorse the minimum agreement, they must have mandates from their members allowing them to do so. At Concordia University, the CSU is one of the largest and thus most influential members of the FÉUQ and so the support of the CSU is essential for the adoption of this agreement. It would be sufficient for Council to give a mandate to its executive to bring a proposal to the FÉUQ to adopt the minimum agreement. The CSU cannot do so without clear mandate.

Be it resolved that the Concordia Student Union supports the minimum agreement;

Be it further resolved that the Concordia Student Union encourages all national student associations, including the FÉUQ to support the minimum agreement;

Be it further resolved that the CSU executives, in the event of a general strike, urge the FÉUQ to adopt and abide by the minimum agreement.

**Kyle Mcloughlin:** Seconds.

**Chad Walcott:** Moves to divide the motion into 3 parts (BIRTs into 3 separate motions). **Iain Meyer-Macaulay:** Seconds.

Vote: unanimous, Michaela Manson and Sabrina D'Ambra abstain (18-2).

**Chad Walcott:** Moves to amend the motion to read "spirit" of the minimum agreement. **Simon-Pierre Lauzon:** Seconds.

**Chad Walcott:** The minimum agreement was voted in by majority but it took 2 days of debating to adopt it. It was more of a symbolic conference, as schools of various sizes had 1 vote. Can't mandate the FEUQ to do something that all its members are not in support of.

**Irmak Bahar:** CSU can't force the FEUQ to take a stance on an issue. As member of the FEUQ, we have the right to bring forward a motion despite what other members might think or want. Not in support of the amendment.

**Kyle Mcloughlin:** Division and dissent and discussion are the foundations of democracy. It's okay if they disagree with us, it's okay to have an unpopular idea.

Morgan Pudwell: Questioning both amendment and motion- what does this mean for the future?



**Melanie Hotchkiss:** Not discussing the minimum agreement. The meeting was mayhem and unrepresentative. We want to fight the tuition increases together, and there are a lot of politics involved. There are more complicated reasons as to why these were voted down. Some clauses are a bit accusatory. Shouldn't adopt these clauses without understanding the reasons why they were voted down.

**Nadine Atallah:** What are the policies of negotiation?

**Chad Walcott:** ASSE has a policy of non negotiation with the government, which would block other associations from doing it.

Irmak Bahar: Limit discussion to amendment.

**Cameron Monagle:** Adding "spirit of" addresses concerns. We value solidarity with other student organizations, which should be considered, but does not bind the CSU. Would only vote for the motion if the amendment passes.

**Chad Walcott:** Minimum agreement for 4 national associations to work together with the government.

Nadine Atallah: A lot of people don't understand what the minimum agreement is.

**Chad Walcott:** It is something that the provincial association ASSE introduced so that the 4 associations work together in fighting the tuition increases.

**Eva-Loan Ponton-Pham:** This doesn't mean that you can't say you're not part of it, just don't criticize it openly in the media. We can learn from past mistakes and take preventative action to ensure that unity. Issues concern all Quebecois(e).

**Chad Walcott:** Back to previous point: the minimum agreement was proposed to ensure the cooperation of the 4 national associations, but they've already been cooperating. Supporting the spirit is sufficient to push for united student front.

**Irmak Bahar:** Supporting the agreement is mandating the FEUQ to do something, whereas supporting the spirit would not (this is what people seem to be thinking).

Nadine Atallah: Calls the question.

Eduardo Alves: Seconds.

**Vote:** all in favour of calling the question: 3 opposed (Kyle Mcloughlin, Michaela Manson, Museb Abu-Thuraia) Tally Shaaked abstains.

Motion is carried.

**Vote:** all in favour of the amendment as it is currently worded: 10-5 (Michaela Manson, Kyle Mcloughlin, Sabrina D'Ambra, Melanie Hotchkiss, Museb Abu-Thuraia opposed), 4 abstentions (Renee



Tousignant, Amero Muiny, Fares Jandali Rifai, Lina Saigol).

Michaela Manson: Calls the question.

Amero Muiny: Seconds.

**Vote:** 11 in favour, 7 opposed, 1 absention.

**Vote on MOTION:** 5 in favour, 8 opposed, 7 abstained. Motion fails.

**Nadine Atallah:** A number of people abstained from voting. This is a complicated issue and people who understand should take the time to discuss it so that everyone understands. Was waiting to talk at the proper moment whereas others did not, and then she didn't get to speak to it at all.

**Chad Walcott:** Moves to table the remaining motions. He has the minutes from that meeting and can send them to Council so that everyone knows what's going on.

**Lex Gill:** Moves to reconsider the first motion.

Amero Muiny: Seconds.

Vote: all in favour of reconsidering: unanimous.

**Chad Walcott:** Moves to table the motions omnibus until next meeting.

Sabrina D'Ambra: Seconds.

**Vote:** all in favour: Michaela Manson opposed.

Motion is carried.

**Irmak Bahar:** We will make sure that the longer document will be sent to Council with plenty of time before the next meeting. Anyone who wants to discuss this can contact her.

**Gonzo Nieto:** Requests that going forward, oppositions and abstentions be noted.

Melanie: Whereas the CSU is committed to the campaign against tuition increases, whereas the CSU believes in solidarity between local and national organisations, be it resolved that the CSU promote and encourage dialogue between student organizations across the province. be it further resolved that the CSU actively work with all student associations in the fight against tuition increases.

Chad Walcott: Seconds.

**Melanie Hotchkiss:** Everyone agrees that we should be working with other student associations. This motion would be putting on paper what we've already been doing, will just make it clear.

**Irmak Bahar:** Would this mean that the CSU would attend meetings they are invited to?

**Lex Gill:** We can only attend as observers.



**Irmak Bahar:** Not asking whether we would participate where we had no right to, where we were invited to meetings only.

**Vote:** all in favour of the motion as it is currently worded: unanimous.

Appointment to Clubs and Space Committee

**Gonzo Nieto:** Michaela Manson recently resigned from the committee and this space needs to be filled. Explains what the committee does.

Anthony D'Urbano: Nominates Eduardo.

**Eduardo Alves:** Conflict of interest- executive of a club.

**Kyle Mcloughlin:** Moves to table. **Iain Meyer Macaulay:** Seconds.

**Vote:** unanimous, 1 abstention.

Appointment to Appointments Committee

**Melissa Fuller:** Explains what the committee does.

Museb Abu-Thuraia: Nominates himself.

**Vote:** all in favour of appointing Museb Abu-Thuraia to Appointments Committee: unanimous.

7. Consent agenda

**Eva-Loan Ponton-Pham:** Moves to take a 15 minute recess. **Vote:** All in favour of taking a 15 minute recess: 4 opposed. Motion is carried.

The meeting is reconvened at 8:45 pm.

**Laura Glover:** Thanks everyone who's volunteered at Winter Orientation.

b. Report from University Bodies

**AJ West:** Student media- the BoG has rejected all motions for transparency (broadcasting meetings or filming them). Encourages any student or member of the public to film the meetings. Get the word out there. Doesn't make sense that the meetings not be filmed.

**Lex Gill:** Sound and visuals in overflow room are not adequate. "Transparency is the opposite of good governance."

**Irmak Bahar:** When is the next meetings?



**Lex Gill:** February 10<sup>th</sup>.

Michaela Manson: This would also be appreciated at Senate.

**Chuck Wilson:** Not illegal, they can't arrest you. You can complain to IITS about the bad sound and image quality.

**Cameron Monagle:** There is legal precedent that filming in a public place is okay, no one will get arrested.

Hasan Cheikhzen: The C- rule has been approved in Senate for Engineers. Prerequisites at the 200 level have to get a C- or better. Transcript will change: graduation GPA will be calculated based on all courses that you take from beginning until graduating, while it used to be the last 90 credits. You only know it your last semester. This is confusing for students as they won't know if they should retake classes.

**Chuck Wilson:** Only applies to new students (September). Ongoing discussion.

c. Reports from Committees

**AJ West:** Library Services Fund Committee just got 50 new iPads. Starting Open Source initiative soon, encourages everyone to fill out his survey.

**Gonzo Nieto:** Committee meeting approved 3 new clubs.

**Simon-Pierre Lauzon:** In regards to the iPads, was it the university or CSU who conducted business with Apple?

AJ West: The Library Services Fund Committee.

**Nadine Atallah:** Research that was conducted was student-based.

**Simon-Pierre Lauzon:** The CSU is are not supposed to work with companies that do not meet basic standards for employment.

**Lex Gill:** It's the university that engaged in that relationship. There is unfortunately no affordable tablet that meets the standards.

**Nadine Atallah:** The university negotiated on our behalf, but we did explicitly say that we were okay with buying Apple iPads. Can't get away from humanitarian issues- conflict minerals from Congo, processed in eastern Asia, sent out to entire world. Can't tell the difference between ethical and conflict minerals. Relevant discussion to have.

Morgan Pudwell: We talked about this last year as well. Conflict-Free Concordia made a motion at



Council and the CSU supported their efforts. There are currently no options available. Previous CSU's have addressed these issues, something we should look at.

**AJ West:** The fact that we went with Apple was well thought out. There was a long process to actually agree to it. He will bring the concern to the LSFC, look into making a donation to support local organization that supports conflict free minerals.

8.

**Tomer Shavit:** FASA quadrupled their honorarium, no justification for such an increase. Thinking about what he could do as a fine arts student to fight against this injustice. Next week he will start talking to classrooms. Dangerous precedent to set.

**Ali Moenck:** Was at the meeting when the FASA budget was presented. Executive salaries were presented. FASA approved the budget, and, although she was opposed, she did not see Tomer there. The amendment was not upheld, the FASA executives received their salary. Not appropriate for the CSU to intervene in FASA's business.

**Chuck Wilson:** Inappropriate to talk about this here.

**Tomer Shavit:** Wasn't at the meeting, didn't know it was going to happen. He spoke to Paisley about it, does not accept her side of the story. Some clubs felt that if they opposed the increase they would have trouble getting their budget. This money should be going into services. Classroom speeches might mobilize students to change this.

#### Announcements

**Kyle Mcloughlin:** New Years Revolution. Event happening tomorrow.

**Kyle Mcloughlin:** Thursday watch a movie at GSA house, Maxed Out film on credit and debt.

Laura Glover: There are still Igloofest tickets left. Breakfast at Reggies on Friday.

**Melissa Fuller:** You can now sign up for the New York trip. 17-19 of February.

**Laura Glover:** \$165 until January 31<sup>st</sup>, \$185 afterwards.

Lex Gill: Moves to adjourn. Lina Saigol: Seconds.

**Vote:** All in favour of adjournment: unanimous.

The meeting is adjourned at 9:30 pm.